

March 18, 1988

LB 1040, 1041
LR 340-344

the north balcony. Would you please rise and be welcomed. Thank you. Senator Beyer, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR BEYER: Mr. Speaker and colleagues, during this last long debate there was placed on your desk an envelope that has a pen in the shape of a nail. It's from the Nebraska AgriWomen's Association. It's been my pleasure to do this every year. This is in honor of Agricultural Day, which this year falls on Sunday. So you got your nail just a little bit in advance. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Mr. Clerk, anything for the good of the cause?

CLERK: Mr. President, I have items for the record. I have a report of registered lobbyists for this past week. Senator Warner has amendments to LB 1040 to be printed. I have new resolutions, LR 340 by Senator Baack; Senator Baack offers LR 341; LR 342 by Senators Baack and Weihing. (Read summary of resolutions.) I have study resolutions by Business and Labor Committee, LR 343; LR 344 by the Business and Labor Committee. Those last two will be referred to Reference, Mr. President. (Read summary of resolutions. See pages 1511-14 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, I have another motion on LB 1041. Senator Chambers would move...Senators Chambers and Baack would move to reconsider the adoption of the Lamb amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers, please.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes. Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, since Senator Abboud has decided that we're going to use 1041 for various purposes, I'm going to follow his leadership, as misguided as some people might think it is, because it opens the opportunity or creates it for us to now discuss a lot of bills and a lot of points of view. Senator Johanns said the other issue was not one of ignorance versus nonignorance, but that is a difference of opinion, I think it does, it did have to do with that. And this, that I'm offering, ties right into what Senator Abboud was talking about, priorities. Since a substantial portion of the body feels that the government should not be involved in making available to the public information, then I'm wondering what business the government has in subsidizing a company that is financially